	Case 5:23-cv-00380 Document 1 Filed 03/07/23	Page 1 of 30 Page ID #:1
1	Stacy Tolchin (CA SBN #217431) Email: Stacy@Tolchinimmigration.com	
2	Megan Brewer (CA SBN # 268248)	
3	Email: Megan@Tolchinimmigration.com	
4	Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin	
	776 E. Green St., Suite 210 Pasadena, CA 91101	
5	Telephone: (213) 622-7450	
6	Facsimile: (213) 622-7233	
7		
8	Matthew Vogel (<i>pro hac vice</i> application forth <i>Email:</i> matt@nipnlg.org	icoming)
9	Amber Qureshi (<i>pro hac vice</i> application forth	coming)
10	Email: amber@nipnlg.org	
	National Immigration Project of the National	Lawyers Guild (NIPNLG)
11	2201 Wisconsin Ave NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20007	
12	Telephone: (202) 470-2082	
13	Facsimile: (617) 227-5495	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT C	COURT FOR THE
	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
16	Martin VARGAS, as Successor in	
17	Interest of the Estate of Martin Vargas	
18	Arellano,	Complaint for Damages
19		
20	Plaintiff,	
21		
41	V.	Jury Trial Requested
22	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE	Jury Trial Requested
22		Jury Trial Requested
22 23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE	Jury Trial Requested
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	Jury Trial Requested
23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	<u>Jury Trial Requested</u>
23 24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	<u>Jury Trial Requested</u>
23 24 25	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	<u>Jury Trial Requested</u>
23 24 25 26	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	<u>Jury Trial Requested</u>
 23 24 25 26 27 	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	Jury Trial Requested
 23 24 25 26 27 	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE GEO GROUP; and WELLPATH, LLC.	Jury Trial Requested

3

4

5

6

7

8

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff Martin Vargas is the son of Martin Vargas Arellano and files this action as Mr. Vargas Arellano's successor in interest.

2. In December 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano contracted COVID-19 while detained at the Adelanto ICE Processing Center ("Adelanto") under the custody of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). Adelanto is a privately operated immigration detention center operated by the GEO Group ("GEO"). GEO contracts medical services at Adelanto to Wellpath, LLC ("Wellpath").

3. In the three months following his COVID-19 infection, Mr. Vargas
Arellano suffered a string of COVID-related medical complications that led to
multiple hospitalizations and a stroke. On March 8, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano
died at the age of 55 due to complications brought on by COVID-19. He was in
ICE custody from April 2019 up until a few days prior to his death.

Defendants were aware that Mr. Vargas Arellano was at high risk of 4. 14 serious illness and death if he were to contract COVID-19 due to his age and 15 multiple chronic conditions including high blood pressure, diabetes, liver disease, 16 cellulitis, and severe psychiatric illness. ICE was also acutely aware of the risk of 17 COVID-19 transmission in its detention facilities and the urgent need to release 18 individuals at risk of death. At the time, this Court in Fraihat v. U.S. Immigr. & 19 Customs Enf't, 445 F. Supp. 3d 709 (C.D. Cal. 2020) rev'd and remanded, 16 F.4th 20 613 (9th Cir. 2021), had found ICE's response to the pandemic systemically 21 deficient and ordered ICE to establish custody redeterminations for individuals at 22 risk of COVID-19. Moreover, because of the deficient conditions in Adelanto, this 23 Court in Roman v. Mayorkas, No. 5:20-cv-00768-THJ-PVC, specifically ordered 24 ICE to reduce the population of Adelanto by releasing individuals at risk of 25 COVID-19. 26

5. Despite Mr. Vargas Arellano's extreme vulnerability to COVID-19,
ICE repeatedly denied his requests for release during the pandemic, even after he

became severely sick. In June 2020, ICE denied, without explanation, Mr. Vargas 1 Arellano's petition for humanitarian parole and release. In October 2020, ICE 2 denied another request for release under this Court's order in Fraihat. ICE also did 3 not release Mr. Vargas Arellano pursuant to this Court's depopulation orders in 4 Roman. In fact, even after Mr. Vargas Arellano had begun experiencing severe, 5 lasting symptoms from COVID-19 and while he lay in a hospital bed at Providence 6 St. Mary Medical Center, ICE opposed his release alleging he was a threat to public 7 safety. 8

6. On December 10, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano tested positive for
COVID-19 while detained at Adelanto. A Special Master in *Roman* found that Mr.
Vargas Arellano contracted COVID-19 from a Wellpath medical provider who
examined him on November 29, 2020. *Roman v. Wolf*, No. 5:20-cv-00768-THJPVC, Dkt. 1220 at 8 (C.D. Cal. Jul. 16, 2021).

7. After Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered brain death on February 26, 2021,
on March 5, 2021, ICE released him purportedly on his own recognizance while in
the hospital, where he died three days later. In fact, ICE regularly orders the
"release" of individuals on their deathbeds in order to avoid the requirement to
report custodial deaths.

8. ICE actively concealed Mr. Vargas Arellano's worsening medical
 condition from his Qualified Representative,¹ Margaret Hellerstein, in violation of
 its own policies. ICE also failed to notify Ms. Hellerstein or Plaintiff Vargas of Mr.
 Vargas Arellano's "release" on his own recognizance or his death.

23

24

9. Defendants refused to release Mr. Vargas Arellano despite his severe

- ¹ In 2013, an immigration judge deemed Mr. Vargas Arellano to be a member of the *Franco-Gonzalez v. Holder*, No. CV 10-cv-2211-DMG (DTBX) (C.D. Cal.), class action based on his lack of mental competency to represent himself in removal proceeding. Mr. Vargas Arellano was assigned a Qualified Representative to assist in his representation.
- 28

vulnerability to COVID-19 and in the face of internal policy and federal court 1 orders that required ICE to reduce the detainee population at the facility because of 2 the lack of COVID-19 protections. Defendants failed to maintain appropriate 3 procedures to protect Mr. Vargas Arellano from contracting COVID-19 while in 4 detention. Defendants also actively concealed his medical status and his eventual 5 death from his family and attorney in violation of their own policies. 6 Plaintiff Vargas seeks monetary damages as Mr. Vargas Arellano's 10. 7 Successor in Interest. 8 9 **EXHAUSTION** 10 On August 15, 2022, Plaintiff submitted an administrative claim under 11. 11 the Federal Tort Claims Act with the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"). 12 In his complaint, Plaintiff sought monetary damages in the amount of \$2,000,000. 13 That claim has now been pending for more than six months. 14 15 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 This Court has jurisdiction over the present action based on 28 U.S.C. 12. 17 § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b) (federal defendant), 28 U.S.C. § § 18 2674, 2680 (Federal Tort Claims Act), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (Supplemental 19 Jurisdiction). 20 Venue is properly with this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) 13. 21 (general venue) and 28 U.S.C. § 1402(b) (torts against the United States) because 22 this is a civil action in which Defendant is the United States of America; because a 23 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in 24 Adelanto, California, in the Central District of California; and there is no real 25 property involved in this action. 26 27 28

3

4

5

PARTIES

14. Plaintiff Vargas is a citizen of the United States. Plaintiff Vargas is the biological son of Martin Vargas Arellano and the Successor in Interest to his father Martin Vargas Arellano. At the time of his death, Mr. Vargas Arellano was unmarried. Plaintiff Vargas resides in Victorville, California.

15. Defendant United States of America is the appropriate defendant
under the Federal Tort Claims Act. 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b). All federal officers
referenced in the complaint were at all relevant times employees of the United
States, working within the scope and course of their employment and acting as
investigative and law enforcement officers for federal agencies including, but not
limited to, DHS and ICE.

12 16. Defendant GEO is a private prison corporation, headquartered in
Boca Raton, Florida, that operates Adelanto and receives substantial federal
funding. Defendant GEO contracts with Defendant ICE to provide detention and
medical services at Adelanto. The contract between GEO and ICE requires
compliance with ICE's Performance Based National Detention Standards
("PBNDS").

18 17. Defendant Wellpath, formerly known as Correct Care Solutions, is the
medical provider at Adelanto. GEO has subcontracted the provision of medical
care at the Adelanto facility to Wellpath since at least 2016. Wellpath is a
corporation headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee and is one of the nation's
largest for-profit correctional health care providers, currently servicing
approximately 394 county jails and community facilities and more than 140 state
and federal prisons in approximately 36 states.

- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

ICE's Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic

18. DHS operates the largest immigration detention system in the world. ICE, as a federal law enforcement agency within DHS, is responsible for managing the detention of noncitizens in the interior of the United States. ICE operates or oversees more than one hundred jails and detention centers. Immigrants may be detained in ICE facilities, in county and local jails that contract with ICE to detain noncitizens, or in detention facilities contracted to private prison corporations such as GEO.

10 19. ICE does not directly provide medical care to all detainees housed
within ICE detention centers. Instead, it contracts frequently with private medical
contractors. However, the ICE Health Services Corps ("IHSC") provides medical
oversight at the facilities with private contracts.

14 20. In December 2019, the virus SARS-CoV-2 was identified in China as
15 causing an outbreak of a new, communicable respiratory illness, now known as
16 coronavirus disease 2019, or COVID-19. Following the spread of the virus to the
17 United States, the Secretary of Health and Human Services declared a nationwide
18 public health emergency on January 31, 2020.

19 21. On March 27, 2020, ICE issued a Memorandum on Coronavirus
 20 Disease 2019 (COVID-19), Action Plan, Revision 1.² On April 10, 2020, ICE
 21 published its COVID-19 Pandemic Response Requirements ("PRR").³ The

22

28 <u>https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-</u>

 ² Memorandum from Enrique M. Lucero, Executive Associate Director of ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations, Memorandum on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Action Plan, Revision 1 (Mar. 27,

^{25 2020), &}lt;u>https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/attF.pdf</u>.

 ²⁶ ³ ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations, COVID-19 Pandemic Response
 ²⁷ Requirements, Version 1.0 (Apr. 10, 2020),

memorandum and PRR established COVID-19 guidelines for facilities which
included requiring screening of all detention staff for temperature and COVID-19
symptoms, and requiring that staff who did not meet the screening criteria be
denied entry into the detention facility.

5 22. Through subsequently issued memoranda and PRRs, ICE indicated
6 the intention to reduce the detainee population by identifying detainees who are at
7 a higher-risk for serious illness from COVID-19.⁴

8 23. These higher risk populations included people aged 65 and older, and
9 people of all ages with underlying medical conditions, particularly if not well
10 controlled. Specified medical conditions included chronic lung disease, moderate
11 to severe asthma, serious heart conditions, immunocompromising conditions,
12 severe obesity, diabetes, chronic kidney disease undergoing dialysis, and liver
13 disease.⁵

14

1

2

3

4

24. Despite these policies, ICE has failed to meet up to these standards

15 <u>v1.pdf</u>. Updated versions of the PRR can be accessed here:

- 16 <u>https://www.ice.gov/coronavirus/prr</u>.
- ¹⁷ ⁴ See, e.g., PRR, Version 3.0 at 21 (Jul. 28, 2020),
- 18 <u>https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-</u>
- 19 <u>v3.pdf;</u> PRR, Version 5.0 at 19 (Oct. 27, 2020),

https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities v5.pdf; PRR, Version 6.0 at 25 (Mar. 16, 2021),

- 21 <u>https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-v6.pdf</u>.
- 22

- ⁵ See PRR, Version 1.0 at 5–6 (Apr. 10, 2020),
- <u>https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-</u>
 v1.pdf. In later iterations of the PRR, ICE expanded the higher risk population
- category to include, *inter alia*, adults aged 55 and older, people of all ages with
- chronic health conditions, people with severe psychiatric illness. *See, e.g.*, PRR
 Version 5.0 at 8–9 (Oct. 27, 2020),
- 27 <u>https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-v5.pdf</u>.
- 28

1	and failed to follow the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC")'s
2	guidance on COVID-19 management in detention centers. ⁶
3	25. In fact, this Court found in Fraihat v. U.S. Immigr. & Customs Enf't,
4	445 F. Supp. 3d 709 (C.D. Cal. 2020) rev'd and remanded, 16 F.4th 613 (9th Cir.
5	2021), that ICE's directives and management of detention facilities were severely
6	lacking. The Fraihat court certified two subclasses of people detained in ICE
7	custody with risk factors or disabilities which placed them at heightened risk of
8	severe illness and death upon contracting the virus. The Fraihat court also issued a
9	preliminary injunction compelling ICE to, inter alia, make timely custody re-
10	determinations for class members.
11	The Adelanto Detention Center
12	26. In 2011, ICE and the City of Adelanto entered into an
13	Intergovernmental Service Agreement ("IGSA") to establish an immigration
14	facility in Adelanto. GEO was subcontracted to run the facility.
15	27. In 2016, after a number of allegations about medical negligence at
16	Adelanto emerged including several related to detainee deaths, ICE officials
17	requested that GEO improve medical care, particularly as it applies to chronic care.
18	In February 2016, GEO stopped providing medical care at Adelanto and contracted
19	with Correct Care Solution, the corporate predecessor of Wellpath to provide
20	medical care at the facility. ⁷
21	⁶ Current CDC guidelines on COVID-19 prevention and management at
22	correctional and detention facilities can be accessed here:
23	<u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/homeless-correctional-</u> <u>settings.html</u> . Prior versions of these guidelines can be accessed here:
24	https://www.cdc.gov/other/archived-content.html.
25	⁷ Leslie Berestein Rojas, <i>Have Changes at Adelanto Immigrant Detention Center</i>
26	Led to Better Health Care?, LAist 89.3 (Oct. 12, 2016),
27	https://www.kpcc.org/2016-10-12/have-changes-at-adelanto-immigrant-detention- cente.
28	

1	28. Wellpath, and its corporate predecessor Correct Care Solutions, has
2	been sued for more than 70 deaths over the past five years and has a pattern of
3	providing substandard care that has led to avoidable deaths. ⁸
4	29. In 2015, the DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
5	("CRCL") found the medical treatment at Adelanto to be substandard and found
6	that clinical leadership was not competent. Two years later, CRCL's independent
7	subject-matter experts found that no corrections were made to address this history. ⁹
8	30. In 2018, CRCL recommended that Adelanto hire a competent,
9	qualified, and effective onsite clinical leader immediately, and that until new
10	leadership took effect, at-risk detainees should immediately be removed from the
11	facility and transferred to other facilities with well-functioning medical programs. ¹⁰
12	31. CRCL also found that psychiatric leadership was absent at Adelanto
13	and that sub-standard mental health care was occurring as a result. ¹¹
14	32. In 2019, Adelanto leadership continued to reject CRCL's findings that
15	the lack of adequate health care leadership put detainees at risk and did not believe
16	
17	⁸ See Blake Ellis and Melanie Hicken, CNN Investigates: Help Me Before it's Too
18	Late, CNN (Jun. 25, 2019), https://www.cnn.com/interactive/2019/06/us/jail-
19	<u>health-care-ccs-invs/</u> ; Hassan Kanu, <i>DOJ Report Exposes Failures of Jail Reform</i> <i>Measures</i> , Reuters (Sept. 9, 2021), <u>https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/doj-</u>
20	report-exposes-failures-jail-reform-measures-2021-09-09/; Michael Fenne, Private
21	<i>Equity Firms Rebrand Prison Healthcare Companies, But Care Issues Continue,</i> Private Equity Stakeholder Project (Nov. 2022), <u>https://pestakeholder.org/wp-</u>
22	content/uploads/2022/11/Wellpath_HIG_2022v2.pdf.
23	⁹ Nick Schwellenbach, DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Review of
24	Adelanto-Sent to ICE in April 2018, Project on Government Oversight (Sept. 6,
25	2019), <u>https://www.pogo.org/document/2019/09/dhs-office-for-civil-rights-and-</u> civil-liberties-review-of-adelanto-sent-to-ice-in-april-2018.
26	10 7 7
27	10 <i>Id.</i>
28	¹¹ <i>Id</i> .

that fundamental or systematic change was necessary.¹²

33. On June 25, 2019, the City of Adelanto terminated the IGSA with ICE. On the same day, ICE awarded a contract to run Adelanto directly to the GEO Group.¹³

5

1

2

3

4

Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic at the Adelanto Facility

34. The Adelanto facility's response to COVID-19 has been woefully 6 inadequate. In Roman v. Wolf, No. 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC, 2020 WL 1952656, 7 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2020), aff'd in part, vacated in part sub nom. Hernandez 8 Roman v. Wolf, 829 F. App'x 165 (9th Cir. 2020), and supplemented, No. 5:20-cv-9 00768-TJH-PVC, 2020 WL 5797918 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2020), a class action suit 10 on behalf of immigrants detained in Adelanto seeking relief based on the facility's 11 failure to implement necessary protective measures during the COVID-19 12 pandemic, this Court's factual findings make clear that Adelanto was not safe for 13 individuals vulnerable to COVID-19. 14 On April 23, 2020, this Court in Roman issued a preliminary 35. 15 injunction compelling ICE, inter alia, to reduce the population at the facility in 16 response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Court found, and the Ninth Circuit 17 affirmed, that the conditions at the Adelanto facility in April 2020 violated 18 detainees due process right to reasonable safety. Specifically, "the Government had 19 failed to impose social distancing because there were 'too many detainees at 20 21

 ¹² Majority Staff Report, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security, *ICE Detention Facilities Failing to Meet Basic Standards of Care* at 11 (Sept. 21, 2020),

https://web.archive.org/web/20200926041027/https://homeland.house.gov/imo/med
 ia/doc/Homeland%20ICE%20facility%20staff%20report.pdf.

 ¹³ Rebecca Plevin, *How a Private Prison Giant Has Continued to Thrive in a State That Wants it Out*, Desert Sun (Jan. 24, 2020), <u>https://www.desertsun.com/in-</u> <u>depth/news/2020/01/24/private-prison-giant-geo-thrives-california-state-wants-</u> out/2589589001/.

Adelanto for its size'; newly arrived detainees were either mixed with the general 1 population or housed with other new detainees who had arrived at different times, 2 both of which undermined the ostensible 14-day quarantine period for new 3 arrivals; staff were not required to wear gloves and masks; there was a lack of 4 necessary cleaning supplies, resulting in cleaning of communal spaces that was 5 'haphazard, at best'; there were only three functioning showers for 118 women; 6 there was inadequate access to hand sanitizer because dispensers were often empty 7 and detainees had to wait for days to receive hand soap; and detainees were forced 8 to sleep within six feet of each other due to the positions of their beds." Hernandez 9 Roman v. Wolf, 829 F. App'x 165, 171 (9th Cir. 2020). 10

36. Although the Ninth Circuit affirmed the preliminary injunction and
accepted the factual findings in *Roman*, it vacated the specific measures ordered in
the preliminary injunction because circumstances at Adelanto had changed by the
time the case was before the Ninth Circuit several months later in September 2020.
The Ninth Circuit remanded with instructions for the district court to develop
preliminary injunction provisions based on the conditions that existed at Adelanto
at that time. *Hernandez Roman v. Wolf*, 829 F. App'x 165, 174 (9th Cir. 2020)

On September 29, 2020, this Court in Roman again found that the 37. 18 conditions at Adelanto were objectively unreasonable and that ICE acted in callous 19 disregard for the reasonable safety of the individuals in detention with respect to 20 their exposure to COVID-19. See Roman v. Wolf, No. EDCV2000768TJHPVCX, 21 2020 WL 5797918, at *6 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2020), aff'd in part, vacated in part, 22 remanded, 977 F.3d 935 (9th Cir. 2020). For example, ICE had been actively and 23 arbitrarily blocking the use of universal testing for COVID-19, even though the 24 facility had sufficient tests onsite delivered for that purpose. Id. at *2-3. ICE's 25 determination that detainees can maintain a distance of six feet from each other at 26 all times was based on a single ICE employee who, without measuring any area, 27 "walked around the facility and imagined in his head that every detainee had a 28

sphere around their body." Id. at *4. The Court also noted that contact tracing was 1 not completed following a COVID-19 outbreak at Adelanto. Id. at *2. The Court 2 ordered ICE to begin weekly testing for COVID-19 for all detainees and 3 implement other measures to protect detainees from COVID-19. Id. at *6. 4 38. On October 6, 2020, ICE reported to the Roman Court that almost 5 20% of the detainees at the facility had tested positive for COVID-19.¹⁴ 6 On October 15, 2020, the Court in Roman issued a population 39. 7 reduction order that found that the population level at that time and the conditions 8 at the facility continue to pose an unreasonable risk to the safety of individuals in 9 detention. See Roman v. Wolf, No. ED CV 20-00768 TJH, 2020 WL 6107069 10 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2020), order clarified, No. ED CV 20-00768 TJH, 2021 WL 11 4621946 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2021). The Court expressed concerns that Adelanto 12 was not sufficiently isolating or quarantining detainees who are symptomatic of 13 COVID-19, suspected of having COVID-19, or have been confirmed positive for 14 COVID-19. Id. at *5. 15 **Detention** Standards 16 40. ICE's contract with GEO to operate Adelanto mandates compliance 17 with ICE's 2011 Performance-Based National Detention Standards ("PBNDS") as 18 revised in December 2016.¹⁵ 19 ICE's PBNDS establish mandatory, non-discretionary policies and 41. 20 practices relating to medical care that facilities and operators of facilities must 21 follow. 22 23 24 ¹⁴ Rebecca Plevin, 'I'm Scared for My Life': Nearly 20% of Detainees at Adelanto ICE Facility Have COVID-19, Desert Sun (Oct. 8, 2020), 25 https://www.desertsun.com/story/news/2020/10/07/nearly-20-detainees-adelanto-26 ice-facility-have-covid-19/5918914002/. 27 ¹⁵ See ICE Performance-Based National Detention Standards 2011, 28 https://www.ice.gov/doclib/detention-standards/2011/pbnds2011r2016.pdf.

42. ICE is responsible for ensuring that the requirements of the PBNDS
 are followed at immigration detention facilities, including Adelanto.

43. The PBNDS provide "Medical Care" standards that require facilities to ensure that detainees have access to a continuum of health care services, including screening, prevention, health education, diagnosis, and treatment.¹⁶

6 44. The PBNDS Medical Care standards provide that CDC "guidelines
7 for the prevention and control of infectious and communicable diseases shall be
8 followed."¹⁷ The PBNDS Medical Care standards also provide that "[f]acilities
9 shall comply with current and future plans implemented by federal, state or local
10 authorities addressing specific public health issues."¹⁸

The PBNDS Medical Care standards provide that: "Every facility 45. 11 shall directly or contractually provide its detainee population with . . . [m]edically 12 necessary and appropriate medical . . . health care."¹⁹ In furtherance of that 13 requirement, "[e]ach facility shall have written plans that address the management 14 of infectious and communicable diseases, including screening, prevention, 15 education, identification, monitoring and surveillance, immunization (when 16 applicable), treatment, follow-up, isolation (when indicated) and reporting to local, 17 state and federal agencies."²⁰ Such "[p]lans shall include . . . control, treatment and 18 prevention strategies; ... procedures for the identification, surveillance, 19 immunization, follow-up and isolation of patients; hand hygiene; [and] 20

21

22

23

3

4

5

 16 Id. at 257–281.

- 17 *Id.* at 258.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$ ¹⁸ *Id.* at 261–62.
- 26 ¹⁹ *Id.* at 260.
- $27 \\ 28 \\ 20 Id. at 261.$

management of infectious diseases."²¹

46. The PBNDS Medical Care standards further require that "a plan is
developed that provides for continuity of medical care in the event of a change in
detention placement or status."²² "The detainee's medical needs shall be taken into
account prior to any transfer of the detainee to another facility."²³

6

7

8

9

10

1

47. The PBNDS also include strict requirements on attorney notification upon the transfer of an individual in detention. The standards require that "the legal representative-of-record shall be notified as soon as practicable, but no later than 24 hours after the detainee is transferred." It is the responsibility of ICE to make such attorney notifications.²⁴

48. In the event that a detainee is gravely ill, the PBNDS also imposes on
ICE the obligation to "immediately notify (or make reasonable efforts to notify)
the detainee's next-of-kin of the medical condition and status, the detainee's
location, and the visiting hours and rules at that location, in a language or manner
which they can understand."²⁵

49. In April 2020, ICE established COVID-19 specific Pandemic
Response Requirements ("PRR"), which sets forth mandatory requirements related
to the management of COVID-19 at immigration detention facilities. ICE has
updated the PRR several times throughout the course of the COVID-19
pandemic.²⁶ At the time of Mr. Vargas Arellano's COVID-19 illness and death, the

- $28 ||^{26}$ See supra note 3.

PRR Version 5.0 was in effect.²⁷ The PRR 5.0 required a list of measures be implemented at immigration detention facilities related to COVID-19 testing, isolation, prevention, and treatment.

3 4

5

6

7

1

2

50. The PRR 5.0 required that detainees at high risk of COVID-19 complications must receive twice-daily "[t]emperature screening and verbal screening for symptoms of COVID19 and contacts with COVID-19 cases of all new entrants."²⁸

51. According to PRR 5.0, if a detainee has symptoms of COVID-19, "[a] 8 medical provider must perform an initial evaluation to determine their care plan 9 and housing placement." A medical assessment must be performed at least daily, 10 and vital signs must be performed more frequently. Detainees with COVID-19 11 symptoms or who test positive for COVID-19 must be "immediately placed under 12 medical isolation in a separate environment from other individuals, and medically 13 evaluated."²⁹ Those at high-risk of complications "should be housed in the medical 14 housing unit or infirmary area of the facility or, if unavailable, hospitalized."³⁰ 15 Those "detainees who require a higher level of care than can be safely provided at 16 the detention facility must be referred to community medical resources when 17 needed."31 18

- 19
- 20

21

26

52. The PRR 5.0 also required that "[i]f there has been a suspected COVID-19 case inside the facility (among incarcerated/detained persons, staff, or

²⁷ See PRR, Version 5.0 (Oct. 27, 2020),

https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities v5.pdf.

- 24 $\| 2^8 Id.$ at 14.
- ²⁵ 29 *Id.* at 16.
- $_{27}$ 30 *Id.* at 15.
- 28 $\| 3^1 Id.$

1	visitors who have recently been inside), facilities shall begin implementing
2	management strategies while test results are pending. Essential management
3	strategies include placing cases and individuals with symptoms under medical
4	isolation, quarantining their close contacts, and facilitating necessary medical care
5	while observing relevant infection control and environmental disinfection
6	protocols and wearing recommended PPE." ³²
7	53. The PRR 5.0 also mandated that facilities must comply with the
8	CDC's Interim Guidance on Management of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-
9	19) in Correctional and Detention Facilities. ³³ Like the PRR, the CDC's interim
10	guidance imposes several requirements on ICE related to the prevention and
11	management of COVID-19 in immigration detention facilities. ³⁴
12	<u>Reporting of Custodial Deaths</u>
13	54. In 2018, Congress required ICE to publicly release reports on every
14	in-custody death within 90 days. ³⁵
15	
16	32 <i>Id.</i> at 29.
17	33 <i>Id</i> . at 8.
18	
19	³⁴ The version of the CDC's guidance in effect during part of Mr. Vargas Arellano's COVID-19 illness—from December 3, 2020 to February 18, 2021—can
20	be accessed here:
21	https://web.archive.org/web/20201210030827/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/20 19-ncov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html.
22	<u>1)-neov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.ntmin</u> .
23	The version of the CDC's guidance in effect during part of Mr. Vargas Arellano's COVID-19 illness and his subsequent death—from February 19, 2021 to March 8,
24	2021—can be accessed here:
25	https://web.archive.org/web/20210308143935/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/20 19-ncov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html.
26	<u>1)-neov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.ntmin</u> .
27	³⁵ House Committee on Appropriations, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Bill, 2018, Rep. No. 115-239,
28	www.congress.gov/115/crpt/hrpt239/CRPT-115hrpt239.pdf.
	15

d	ase 5:23-cv-00380 Document 1 Filed 03/07/23 Page 17 of 30 Page ID #:17
1	55. ICE is required to report custodial deaths to Congress,
2	nongovernmental organization stakeholders, and the public in the Detainee Death
3	report. ³⁶
4	56. There are only five detainee deaths listed by ICE for FY 2021, the
5	year that applied when Martin Vargas Arellano passed away. ³⁷
6	57. Martin Vargas Arellano is not listed among the five detainees who
7	died.
8	58. Martin Vargas Arellano's release was ordered on March 5, 2021,
9	when he was immobile in a hospital bed after he suffered a stroke. He died three
10	days later.
11	59. ICE regularly orders the "release" of detainees who are essentially on
12	their deathbeds in order to avoid reporting custodial deaths to Congress. ³⁸
13	Mr. Vargas Arellano's Immigration History
14	60. Mr. Vargas Arellano is a native of Mexico who arrived in the United
15	States when he was 2 years old.
16	61. On May 15, 2013, ICE took Mr. Vargas Arellano into custody and
17	placed him in removal proceedings. Later that year, an immigration judge found
18	him to be a member of the Franco-Gonzalez v. Holder, Case No. 10-2211 (C.D.
19	Cal.) class because he was not competent to represent himself in his removal
20	
21	
22	³⁶ See ICE Detainee Death Reporting (last updated Dec. 5, 2022),
23	https://www.ice.gov/detain/detainee-death-reporting.
24	³⁷ <i>Id</i> .
25	³⁸ Andrea Castillo and Jie Jenny Zou, <i>ICE Rushed to Release a Sick Woman</i> ,
26	Avoiding Responsibility for Her Death. She Isn't Alone, Los Angeles Times (May
27	13, 2022), <u>https://www.latimes.com/world-nation/story/2022-05-13/ice-immigration-detention-deaths-sick-detainees.</u>
28	
	16
	10

proceeding. Mr. Vargas Arellano was assigned a Qualified Representative to assist in his representation in 2014.

62. Mr. Vargas Arellano was released from custody in 2014 while his
Petition for Review was pending before the Ninth Circuit when ICE determined
that he was not a danger to others or a flight risk. In 2018, the Ninth Circuit
remanded his case at the request of the parties.

63. ICE placed Mr. Vargas Arellano in custody again in 2019 after he was
arrested based on an October 2018 violation of his obligation to register as a sex
offender. Mr. Vargas Arellano had sustained no other criminal convictions
between 2014 and 2018.

64. In November 2019, an immigration judge denied Mr. Vargas
Arellano's application for withholding of removal and protection under the
Convention Against Torture. Mr. Vargas Arellano's Qualified Representative
appealed that decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals.

65. On April 14, 2021, a month after Mr. Vargas Arellano's death, the
Board of Immigration Appeals remanded the case to the immigration court to
reconsider his eligibility for withholding of removal and protection under the
Convention Against Torture, citing clear error by the immigration judge.

19 66. On April 22, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano's removal case was
20 terminated due to his death.

21 Mr. Vargas Arellano's Detention During COVID-19

1

2

67. ICE was well aware that Mr. Vargas Arellano was at risk of serious
illness and death if he were to contract COVID-19, due to his age and because he
suffered from a number of chronic conditions including high blood pressure,
diabetes, cellulitis, liver disease, and severe psychiatric illness (schizophrenia).
Between April 2019 and April 2020, ICE transferred Mr. Vargas Arellano at least
eleven times for inpatient care at a hospital or clinic, with stays ranging from days
to several weeks.

- 68. In June 2020, ICE denied, without explanation, Mr. Vargas Arellano's 1 petition for humanitarian parole and release. 2
- 3

69. On October 7, 2020, this Court in *Fraihat* clarified that its preliminary injunction applied to people subject to both discretionary and mandatory detention 4 and that "[o]nly in rare cases should a Subclass member not subject to mandatory 5 detention remain detained." Those subclass members subject to mandatory 6 detention "should only continue to be detained after individualized consideration of 7 the risk of severe illness or death, with due regard to the public health emergency." 8 Fraihat v. U.S. Immigr. & Customs Enf't, No. EDCV191546JGBSHKX, 2020 WL 9 6541994, at *12 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2020). 10

Mr. Vargas Arellano was a class member in Fraihat. He suffered from 70. multiple chronic conditions placing him at extremely high risk of severe illness or 12 death upon contracting COVID-19, he had been ordered released in April due to 13 these risks, and he in fact did become severely ill as a result of contracting COVID-14 19 during his detention. Yet, ICE repeatedly denied his requests for release from 15 the onset of the pandemic to days before his death. 16

On October 29, 2020, ICE denied a request based on Fraihat for his 71. 17 release. The basis for the October 29, 2020, denial of release was that Mr. Vargas 18 Arellano was a threat to public safety. 19

After Mr. Vargas Arellano contracted COVID-19 in December 2020, 72. 20 his Qualified Representative, Margaret Hellerstein, sought a bond hearing which 21 was scheduled for January 26, 2021. Mr. Vargas Arellano, as a Franco class 22 member, was entitled to a bond hearing after 180 days of detention at which the 23 government bears the burden of justifying continued detention. 24

On January 20, 2021, Ms. Hellerstein spoke with Mr. Vargas Arellano 73. 25 about his upcoming bond hearing, and he informed her that he was still suffering 26 from the effects of COVID-19 and that he was under medical observation. They 27

agreed that she would file a motion to continue the bond hearing until he could 1 meaningfully participate. 2

74. The next day, Ms. Hellerstein again spoke with him, he explained that 3 he was feeling a bit better and that he wanted to proceed with the bond hearing. A 4 telephonic Franco bond hearing was scheduled for February 2, 2021. 5

75. On January 27, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano was hospitalized for 6 COVID-19 pneumonia at St. Mary's Hospital.

On February 1, 2021, Ms. Hellerstein attempted to set up a call with 76. 8 Mr. Vargas Arellano for the following morning's bond hearing. She was informed 9 that he was offsite. 10

On February 2, 2021, at the telephonic bond hearing, while Mr. Vargas 77. 11 Arellano was in hospital, ICE refused to stipulate to bond citing his criminal record. 12

78. Mr. Vargas Arellano had sustained no new criminal convictions 13 between 2014, when ICE determined that he was not a danger to others or a flight 14 risk and released him from custody, and 2018, when was arrested and convicted for 15 failing to register as a sex offender. His obligation to register as a sex offender 16 arose from a 1985 conviction, when he was a juvenile. Between 1985 to 2018, Mr. 17 Vargas Arellano had not once failed to register as required. 18

At the February 2, 2021, bond hearing, Ms. Hellerstein withdrew the 79. 19 request for custody redetermination and informed the Immigration Judge that she 20 would make a new motion once Mr. Vargas had returned from the hospital. 21

Martin Vargas Arellano's Illness and Death 22

80. On December 10, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano tested positive for 23 COVID-19. He contracted COVID-19 from a Wellpath medical provider at 24 Adelanto who examined him on November 29, 2020.³⁹ 25

26

27

28

³⁹ On March 23, 2021, in Roman v. Mayorkas, No. 5:20-cv-00768-TJH-PVC this Court referred the death of Mr. Vargas Arellano to a Special Master for

1	81. From December 2020 until March 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered
2	a string of COVID-related medical complications that led to multiple
3	hospitalizations, a stroke, and his eventual death.
4	82. On December 11, 2020, the day after he tested positive for COVID-19,
5	Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered from shortness of breath, fever, dry cough, and
6	eventually COVID-19 pneumonia, for which he had to be hospitalized.
7	83. On December 12, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano was transferred back to
8	the Adelanto detention center but soon thereafter transferred to Providence St.
9	Mary Medical Center due to COVID-19 pneumonia where he was hospitalized for
10	several weeks.
11	84. On December 25, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano was discharged from
12	Providence St. Mary Medical Center and placed back in Adelanto.
13	85. On January 4, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano was admitted to the
14	Adelanto infirmary after complaining of shortness of breath.
15	86. On January 26, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano was again hospitalized for
16	COVID-19 pneumonia at Providence St. Mary Medical Center.
17	87. On February 4, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano was released from
18	Providence St. Mary Medical Center and placed back in the Adelanto infirmary.
19	88. On February 17, 2021, after experiencing further shortness of breath,
20	Mr. Vargas Arellano was hospitalized for the third and final time for COVID-19.
21	89. On February 19, 2021, a Wellpath Medical Director emailed ICE's
22	medical coordinator explaining that Mr. Vargas Arellano's medical condition has
23	become grave, and that he was "at great risk of pulmonary embolism and [that
24	there was a] possibility of sudden death' due to multiple ailments, including
25	investigation On July 16, 2021, the Special Master issued a Depart and
26	investigation. On July 16, 2021, the Special Master issued a Report and Recommendation, which was accepted by the Court on August 8, 2021, finding,
27	among other things, that Mr. Vargas Arellano died of complications due to
28	COVID-19, which he contracted at Adelanto. <i>See Roman v. Wolf</i> , No. 5:20-cv-00768-THJ-PVC, Dkt. 1220 at 8 (C.D. Cal. Jul. 16, 2021).
	20

ongoing weakness and chest pain in the wake of COVID-19 infection." Roman v. Wolf, No. 5:20-cv-00768-THJ-PVC, Dkt. 1220 at 5 (C.D. Cal. Jul. 16, 2021). The Wellpath Medical Director urged ICE to evaluate whether Mr. Vargas Arellano should be released from ICE detention. Id.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

1

2

3

90. After ICE learned that Mr. Vargas Arellano was at risk of sudden death, it initiated a plan to release him. On February 22, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano's Deportation Officer, Sergio Guzman, reached out to Ms. Hellerstein informing her that ICE was considering releasing Mr. Vargas Arellano and for her to provide information about his housing and transportation. Ms. Hellerstein shared that information by email and asked that Mr. Guzman let her know as soon as a decision was made about Mr. Vargas Arellano's release. Mr. Guzman did not inform Ms. Hellerstein of Mr. Vargas Arellano's grave condition nor that it was the reason for ICE's consideration of release.

14

15

17

18

On or about February 26, 2021, Ms. Hellerstein reached out to Mr. 91. Guzman for an update. He informed her that no decision had been made yet about his release. He agreed to update her once the agency made a decision about his 16 release. Ms. Hellerstein began making arrangements with the halfway house where Mr. Vargas Arellano was going to stay.

On or about February 26, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered a stroke 92. 19 that caused brain death. 20

On March 5, 2021, ICE "released" Mr. Vargas Arellano purportedly 93. 21 on his own recognizance while in the hospital, even though he was comatose and 22 brain dead. The release order listed the release address that Ms. Hellerstein had 23 shared with Mr. Guzman. ICE did not inform Ms. Hellerstein nor Mr. Vargas 24 Arellano's family of this release. 25

On March 8, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano passed away due to 94. 26 complications brought by COVID-19. ICE did not inform Ms. Hellerstein nor 27 Plaintiff of Mr. Vargas Arellano's death. ICE also did not report Mr. Vargas 28

2

3

Arellano's death to this Court in *Roman*, where he had been a class member. ICE merely reported to the Court that Mr. Vargas Arellano was released on March 8, 2021.

95. On March 15, 2021, after class counsel in *Roman* informed Ms.
Hellerstein that Mr. Vargas Arellano had been released, she contacted Officer
Guzman, by phone, inquiring about his whereabouts. He informed her that he was
unaware of Mr. Vargas Arellano's location. He did not inform her of Mr. Vargas
Arellano's death. The next day she emailed Mr. Guzman to follow up. Mr. Guzman
was instructed by his supervisors to ignore Ms. Hellerstein's email and not speak
with her any further about Mr. Vargas Arellano's case.

96. Over the next few days, Ms. Hellerstein reached out to hospitals,
shelters, police stations, and the Mexican Consulate seeking information about Mr.
Vargas Arellano. On March 18, 2021, she learned of her client's death after
contacting the coroner's office. Plaintiff learned of his father's death shortly
thereafter.

97. Because Mr. Vargas Arellano was "released" from ICE custody prior
to his death on March 8, 2021, ICE did not report his death as a custodial death to
Congress.⁴⁰

19	CAUSES OF ACTION
20	<u>COUNT ONE</u>
21	(Federal Tort Claims Act)
22	(Negligence)
23	Defendant United States
24	98. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though
25	fully set forth here.
26	
27	⁴⁰ See ICE Detainee Death Reporting (last updated Dec. 5, 2022),
28	https://www.ice.gov/detain/detainee-death-reporting.

1	99. The United States is liable pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act for
2	the tortious acts of its employees in "circumstances where the United States, if a
3	private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the
4	place where the act or omission occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b).
5	100. Plaintiff brings this cause of action as a successor in interest to Mr.
6	Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.30 (Survival
7	Action).
8	101. Plaintiff is Mr. Vargas Arellano's biological son and successor in
9	interest.
10	102. At all relevant times, ICE officials acted within their scope of their
11	employment and/or official duties as employees of DHS, an agency of the United
12	States.
13	103. ICE Health Services Corps (IHSC) oversees Wellpath's compliance
14	with national detention standards and oversees care for ICE detainees housed in
15	contracted facilities.
16	104. Agents of the United States owed a duty of care to Mr. Vargas
17	Arellano, and breached their mandatory, non-discretionary duties to Plaintiff,
18	including in the following ways:
19	a. Failing to adequately shield him from contracting COVID-19;
20	b. Failing to release him despite his high risk of serious illness or death;
21	c. Failing to provide facilities and care sufficient to meet his medical
22	needs;
23	d. Failing to properly oversee facilities and staff;
24	e. Failing to release him after he contracted COVID-19; and
25	f. Failing to communicate with his designated representative regarding
26	his illness and transfer to hospital.
27	105. Defendant's breach of duty was the direct and proximate cause and a
28	substantial factor in bringing about Martin Vargas' injuries.

 $\|$

1	106. The actions of Defendant United States constitute the tort of
2	negligence under the laws of the State of California.
3	<u>COUNT TWO</u>
4	(Federal Tort Claims Act)
5	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)
6	Defendant United States
7	107. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though
8	fully set forth here.
9	108. The United States is liable pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act for
10	the tortious acts of its employees in "circumstances where the United States, if a
11	private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the
12	place where the act or omission occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b).
13	109. Plaintiff brings this cause of action as a successor in interest to Mr.
14	Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.30 (Survival
15	Action).
16	110. At all relevant times, ICE officials acted within their scope of their
17	employment and/or official duties as employees of DHS, an agency of the United
18	States.
19	111. The elements of an intentional infliction of emotional distress cause of
20	action under California law are (1) outrageous conduct by the defendant, (2)
21	intention to cause or reckless disregard of the probability of causing emotional
22	distress, (3) severe emotional suffering, and (4) actual and proximate causation of
23	the emotional distress.
24	112. Agents of the United States committed outrageous conduct when they
25	did not take adequate steps to shield Mr. Vargas Arellano from contracting
26	COVID-19 and when they failed to release him despite multiple requests knowing
27	that he suffered from high blood pressure, diabetes, liver disease, cellulitis, and
28	severe psychiatric illness.

 $\|$

1	113. Agents of the United States detained Mr. Vargas Arellano under
2	conditions in which the facilities and level of care were not adequate to meet his
3	medical needs.
4	114. Agents of the United States acted in bad faith by actively concealing
5	the seriousness of Mr. Vargas Arellano's condition and death from his counsel and
6	"releasing" him on his deathbed in order to avoid having to report a custodial death
7	to Congress.
8	115. Mr. Vargas Arellano endured severe emotional suffering as a result of
9	the outrageous conduct of Defendant United States.
10	116. The actions of Defendant constitute the tort of intentional infliction of
11	emotional distress under the laws of the State of California.
12	COUNT THREE
13	(Federal Tort Claims Act)
14	(False Arrest/ Imprisonment)
15	Defendant United States
16	117. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though
17	fully set forth here.
18	118. The United States is liable pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act for
19	the tortious acts of its employees in "circumstances where the United States, if a
20	private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the
21	place where the act or omission occurred." 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b).
22	119. Plaintiff brings this cause of action as a successor in interest to Mr.
23	Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.30 (Survival
24	Action).
25	120. At all relevant times, ICE officials acted within their scope of their
26	employment and/or official duties as employees of DHS, an agency of the United
27	States.
28	
	~~
	25

1	121. Agents of the United States intentionally imprisoned Plaintiff without
2	lawful privilege and without Plaintiff's consent. This act constituted the tort of
3	false imprisonment under the laws of the State of California.
4	COUNT FOUR
5	<u>Cal. Gov. Code § 7320</u>
6	(Violation of Detention Standards)
7	Defendants Wellpath and GEO
8	122. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as
9	though fully set forth here.
10	123. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on his father's behalf as successor
11	in interest to Mr. Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure §
12	377.30 (Survival Action).
13	124. GEO is a private detention facility operator.
14	125. Wellpath is an agent of a private detention facility.
15	126. GEO and Wellpath are required to exercise a duty of ordinary care and
16	skill in their compliance and adherence to the detention standards of care and
17	confinement agreed upon in the Adelanto Detention Facility contract for operations.
18	127. ICE's PBNDS are the applicable standards of care as set forth in the
19	Adelanto Detention Facility contract for operations. The PBNDS incorporates CDC
20	guidelines on COVID-19.
21	128. GEO and Wellpath engaged in tortious actions in violation of the
22	PBNDS when they failed to adequately protect Mr. Vargas Arellano from
23	contracting COVID-19.
24	129. GEO and Wellpath engaged in tortious actions in violation of the
25	PBNDS when they failed to provide appropriate facilities and care for Mr. Vargas
26	Arellano's medical needs.
27	130. GEO's and Wellpath's violations of the PBNDS caused Mr. Vargas
28	Arellano's pain, suffering, and eventual death.

q	ase 5:23-cv-00380 Document 1 Filed 03/07/23 Page 28 of 30 Page ID #:28
1	COUNT FIVE
2	Cal. Civ. Code § 1714
3	(Negligence)
4	Defendants Wellpath and GEO
5	131. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though
6	fully set forth here.
7	132. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on his father's behalf as successor
8	in interest to Mr. Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure §
9	377.30 (Survival Action).
10	133. California Civil Code § 1714 provides a statutory cause of action for
11	negligence. To establish a claim for negligence, a plaintiff must show (1) that the
12	defendant owed the plaintiff a legal duty; (2) that the defendant breached that duty;
13	and (3) that the breach was a proximate or legal cause of the plaintiff's injuries.
14	134. California law recognizes a "special relationship" between jailer and
15	prisoner that gives rise to a duty of care. Giraldo v. California Dep't of Corr. &
16	Rehab., 168 Cal. App. 4th 231, 250–51, 85 Cal. Rptr. 3d 371, 386 (2008).
17	135. Defendants breached their duty of care when they detained Mr. Vargas
18	Arellano under conditions in which the facilities and level of care were not
19	adequate to meet his medical needs, and that breach caused his pain, suffering, and
20	eventual death.
21	136. Defendants breached their duty of care when they failed to adequately
22	protect Mr. Vargas Arellano from contracting COVID-19, and that breach caused
23	his pain, suffering, and eventual death.
24	
25	
26	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
27	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court grant the following relief:
28	(1) Award compensatory and punitive damages to Plaintiff in an
	27

q	ase 5:23-cv-00380 Docum	ent 1 Filed 03/07/23 Page 29 of 30 Page ID #:29						
1	amount to be proven at trial; (2) Award costs and reasonable attorney fees under the Equal							
3	Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(b) and Cal. Gov. Code							
	§ 7320(c);							
4								
5		Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and						
6	prop	proper.						
7								
8	Dated: March 7, 2023	Respectfully submitted,						
9		Stacy Tolchin						
10		Megan Brewer						
11		Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin						
12		776 E. Green St. Suite 210 Pasadena, CA 91101						
13		Telephone: (213) 622-7450						
		Facsimile: (213) 622-7233						
14		Email: Stacy@Tolchinimmigration.com						
15		<u>Megan@Tolchinimmigration.com</u>						
16								
17		Matthew Vogel*† Amber Qureshi*						
18		National Immigration Project of						
19		the National Lawyers Guild						
20		(NIPNLG) 2201 Wissensin Ave NW Suite						
		2201 Wisconsin Ave NW, Suite 200						
21		Washington, DC 20007						
22		Telephone: (202)470-2082						
23		Facsimile: (617) 227-5495 Email:						
24		matt@nipnlg.org						
25		amber@nipnlg.org						
26		* pro hac vice application						
27		forthcoming						
28								
		28						

q	ase 5:23-cv-00380	Document 1	Filed 03/07/23	Page 30 of 30	Page ID #:30
1 2					<i>C; working remotely in Louisiana only</i>
2					
4			Cou	unsel for Plainti	ff
5					
6			By	: <u>s/ Stacy Tolch</u> Stacy Tolchi	
7				~~~~j _ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	-
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
20 21					
21					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			29		